

Remarks

In the final office action mailed June 12, 2009, the Examiner allowed claims 14 and 16-21 and rejected claims 1-13, 23, and 25-29.

Applicant has cancelled claims 1-13, 23, and 25-29 in order to expedite prosecution and issuance, but Applicant specifically reserves the right to pursue the subject matter of the cancelled claims in a continuation application. Since only the allowed claims are now pending, Application looks forward to receiving of a notice of allowance.

In allowing claims 14 and 16-21, the Examiner provided reasons for allowance. Applicant understands, however, that the Examiner has thoroughly examined the claims and prior art of record and has concluded that the art of record, whether considered alone or in combination, fails to disclose or suggest the entire method recited by the allowed claims, that the Examiner has found each claim as a whole to patentably distinguish over the art of record, and that patentability of the claims does not rest on only the aspect that the Examiner listed in the reasons for allowance.

If the Examiner would like to discuss this case with the undersigned, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (312) 913-2141.

Respectfully submitted,

**McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP**

Date: August 12, 2009

By: /Lawrence H. Aaronson/
Lawrence H. Aaronson
Reg. No. 35,818